

Convenzione INGV-DPC 2012-2013

Progetti Sismologici

Progetto S1

Miglioramento delle conoscenze per la definizione del potenziale sismogenetico

Coordinatore

Nome: Andrea

Cognome: Argnani

Qualifica: 1° Ricercatore

Ente/Istituzione: CNR, ISMAR, Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna

e-mail: andea.argnani@ismar.cnr.it

tel. +39 051 6398886

- Breve CV

Laurea con lode in Scienze Geologiche (1983), Università di Bologna, MSc in Basin Evolution and Dynamics (1987) presso Royal Holloway College dell'Università di Londra (UK) con Ken McClay. Ricercatore al CNR dal 1988, ora primo ricercatore, dal 2001, all'Istituto di Scienze Marine di Bologna. Si è occupato per più di venti anni di evoluzione tettonica, ricostruzioni cinematiche e geodinamica del Mediterraneo e dei mari italiani, focalizzandosi recentemente sulla tettonica attiva di aree selezionate nelle quali ha lavorato in collaborazione con sismologi e modellatori di maremoti. Attualmente si interessa allo studio della tettonica attiva al largo della Sicilia orientale, incluso lo Stretto di Messina e l'instabilità del fianco orientale dell'Etna, e nell'Adriatico centro-meridionale. Ha scritto oltre 40 di articoli scientifici JRC, 10 articoli su libri internazionali, e ha presentato oltre 100 comunicazioni a congressi internazionali e nazionali. Ha inoltre contribuito come responsabile alla stesura del Foglio Profondo per la Cartografia Geologica Marina alla scala 1:250.000 dei Fogli, Ravenna, Venezia, Ancona, Pescara e Bari-Vieste.

- 5 pubblicazioni significative relative ai temi di ricerca del progetto

Argnani A., Armigliato A., Pagnoni G., Zaniboni F., Tinti S., Bonazzi C. (2012) - Active tectonics along the submarine slope of south-eastern Sicily and the source of the 11 January 1693 earthquake and tsunamis. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 1311–1319, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-1311-2012.

Argnani, A., G. Brancolini, C. Bonazzi, M. Rovere, F. Accaino, F. Zgur, and E. Lodolo (2009) - The results of the Taormina 2006 seismic survey: Possible implications for active tectonics in the Messina Straits. *Tectonophysics*, 476, 15-169.

Argnani A., Rovere M. and Bonazzi C. (2009) - Tectonics of the Mattinata Fault offshore south Gargano (southern Adriatic Sea, Italy): implications on active deformation in the foreland of the Southern Apennines. *G.S.A. Bull.*, 121, 1421-1440.

Argnani A., Serpelloni E., C. Bonazzi C. (2007) - Pattern of deformation around the central Aeolian Islands: evidence from GPS data and multichannel seismics. *Terra Nova*, 19, 317-323.

Serpelloni E., Vannucci G., Pondrelli S., Argnani A., Casula G., Anzidei M., Baldi P., Gasperini P. (2007) – Kinematics of the Western Africa-Eurasia plate boundary from focal mechanisms and GPS data. *Geoph. J. International*, 169, 1180-1200.

Riassunto

Il progetto S1 intitolato “Miglioramento delle conoscenze per la definizione del potenziale sismogenico” si occupa, primariamente, di caratterizzare il potenziale sismogenico di alcune aree considerate dal Comitato di Progetto come di rilevante interesse, e in secondo luogo cerca di sviluppare metodiche innovative per lo studio delle faglie attive, con risvolti quantitativi.

Il progetto e' strutturato secondo Sottoprogetti e Tasks, con dei prodotti attesi (deliverables) per le attivita' di ogni Task. Per motivi di semplicita' ad ogni prodotto atteso e' stato assegnato un responsabile.

I Sottoprogetti e i relativi Tasks sono i seguenti:

Sottoprogetto S1a – Definizione della struttura di velocita' crostale e di deformazione in atto nella Pianura Padana e nelle regioni adiacenti.

- Task a1: struttura di velocita' crostale della Pianura Padana
- Task a2: Deformazione in atto e campo di sforzi nella Pianura Padana

Sottoprogetto S1b – Valutazione del potenziale sismogenico delle strutture attive della Pianura Padana e aree limitrofe.

- Task b1: deformazione dell'area del Montello da dati sismologici e geodetici
- Task b2: L'arco ferrarese: geometrie del sottosuolo, paleosismologia e indizi di segmentazione delle strutture sismogeniche.
- Task b3: Sismicita' storica della Pianura Padana: inversione di dati macrosismici di alcuni terremoti

Sottoprogetto S1c – Definizione della sismicita', della deformazione in atto e del potenziale sismogenico nelle regioni del Sannio e del confine Calabro-Lucano.

- Task c1: geodesia con dati GPS e sismotettonica della regione del Sannio
- Task c2: Valutazione del potenziale sismogenico della regione al confine Calabro-Lucano.

Sottoprogetto S1d – Tecniche integrate da applicare lo studio della geologia del terremoto di alcune strutture selezionate.

- Task d1: Valutazione del potenziale sismogenico del sistema di faglie del bacino del Mercure.
- Task d2: Prospezioni 3D con georadar su zone di faglia selezionate.

Partecipano al progetto 9 unita; di ricerca (UR) che sono state accomunate principalmente per domini amministrativi (essendo il numero massimo consentito di 10 UR) e che comprendono in molti casi diversi gruppi di ricerca. Le unita' sono le seguenti: INGV-RM, INGV-BO, OGS-TS, ISMAR-BO, IREA, IGAG-RM, UniCal, UniPG e UniCH.

Convenzione INGV-DPC 2012-2013

Seismological Projects

(Project form)

Project S1

Base-knowledge improvement for assessing the seismogenic potential of Italy

1. Coordinator

Name: Andrea

Surname: Argnani

Position: Senior scientist

Employee: CNR, ISMAR, Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy

- Contacts

e-mail: andrea.agnani@ismar.cnr.it

tel. +39 0516398886

List of participants by Research Units (other insitutions indicated within brackets) with the RU responsible.

INGV-RM (Resp. Francesca Cinti)

Task a1: L. Malagnini, I. Munafo' (UniBO), K. Mayeda (Uni California, Berkeley, USA), R. Herrmann (Uni S. Louis, USA)

Tasks a2, c2: M.T. Mariucci, P. Montone, S. Pierdominici (GFZ-Potsdam, Germany)

Task b2: P.M. DeMartini, F.C. Cinti, D. Pantosti, F. Molisso (IAMC-CNR), S. Pucci, S. Pinzi, L. Cucci, A.M. Michetti (Unilns), F. Livio (Unilns), A. Berlusconi (Unilns), F. Ferrario (Unilns), E. Vittori (ISPRA), M. Amanti (ISPRA), L. Guerrieri (ISPRA)

Task b2: F. Galadini, S. Cremonini (UniBO), E. Falcucci, G. Fubelli (UniRM3), S. Gori, M. Moro, G. Scardia (IGAG-RM), M. Saroli (UniCas)

Task c1: V. Sepe, M. Anzidei, G. Brandi, E. Cubellis, A. D'Alessandro, P. De Martino, S. Del Mese, M. Dolce, A. Esposito, A. Galvani, A. Massucci, F. Obrizzo, U. Tammaro, G. Vilardo

Task c2: F.R. Cinti, L. Cucci, P.M. DeMartini, D. Pantosti, S. Pucci, S. Pinzi, G. Ventura, A. Patera, C.A. Brunori, M. Marchetti, A. Tertulliani, L. Alfonsi, A.M. Michetti (Unilns), F. Livio (Unilns), R. Gambillara (Unilns), N. D'Agostino, E. D'Attanasio, A. Avallone, G. Cecere

INGV-BO (Resp. Stefania Danesi)

Task a1: I. Molinari, A. Morelli, L. Zaccarelli, M. Massa, P. Augliera, S. Lovati, D. Piccinini, G. Monachesi, A. Borghi (PoliMI), M. Reguzzoni (PoliMI), M.R. Tondi

Tasks a2, b1: E. Serpelloni, S. Salvi, C. Tolomei, A. Gualandi, L. Anderlini

Task b1: S. Danesi, S. Salimbeni, L. Zaccarelli, P. Augliera, M. Massa, S. Lovati

Task b2: M. Massa, P. Augliera, S. Lovati

OGS-TS (Resp. Alessandro Vuan)

Task a1: A. Vuan, P. Klin, L. Moratto, C. Barnaba, M. Sugan

Tasks a1/b2: F. Accaino, F. Fanzutti, L. Baradello, C. Barnaba

Task b2: G. Costa (UniTS), L. Tiberi (UniTS), P. Suhadolc (UniTS), A. Gallo (UniTS), G. Zoppe' (UniTS)

Task b3: L. Sirovich, F. Pettenati

ISMAR-BO (Resp. Andrea Argnani)

Tasks a1, b2: A. Argnani, L. Martelli (Regione Emilia-Romagna), M. Ligi, L. Gasperini, F. DelBianco, R. Caputo (UniFE), G. Santarato (UniFE), N. Abu-Zeid (UniFE), S. Smporas (UniFE), S. Bignardi (UniFE), M. Stefani (UniFE), L. Minarelli (UniFE), V. Manzi (UniPR), M. Roveri (UniPR), G. Toscani (UniPV), S. Seno (UniPV), L. Bonini (UniPV), G. Valensise (INGV-RM), P. Burrato (INGV-RM), R. Basili (INGV-RM), C. D'Ambrogi (ISPRA).

IGAG-RM (Resp. Biagio Giaccio)

Task d1: B. Giaccio, G. Cavinato, P. Galli (DPC), M. Mancini, P. Messina, G. Scardia, G. Sottili, E. Peronace.

IREA-NA (Resp. Paolo Berardino)

Task a2: P. Berardino, G. Fornaro, N. D'Agostino (INGV-RM)

UniCal (Resp. Ignazio Guerra)

Task c2: I. Guerra, A. Gervasi (INGV-RM), B. Orecchio (UniME), A. Billi (IGAG-RM), D. Presti (UniME), L. Festa, G. Latorre, Ricercatore TD, Assegnista

UniCH (Resp. Francesco Brozzetti)

Task c2: F. Brozzetti, G. Lavecchia, P. Boncio, R. DeNardis, F. Liberi, G. Adinolfi

UniPG (Resp. Cristina Pauselli)

Task d2: C. Pauselli, M. Ercoli, E. Forte (UniTS), C. Federico, A. Frigeri (INAF-RM), W. Kofman (CNRS-Grenoble, Francia), J. Bradford (Boise State Univ., USA)

Italian institutions involved: Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (sections of Rome, Bologna, Milan/Pavia and Naples), Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e Geofisica Sperimentale (OGS-TS), University of Bologna (UniBO), University of Insubria (UnInS), Istituto Superiore Protezione e Ricerca Ambientale (ISPRA), University Roma 3 (UniRM3), Istituto di Geologia Ambientale e Geoingegneria-CNR (IGAG-RM), University of Caserta (UniCas), Politecnico di Milano (PoliMI), University of Trieste (UniTS), Istituto di Scienze Marine-CNR (ISMAR-BO), University of Ferrara (UniFE), University of Pavia (UniPV), University of Parma (UniPR), Istituto per il Rilevamento Elettromagnetico dell'Ambiente-CNR (IREA), University of Calabria (UniCal), University of Messina (UniME), Department of Civil Protection (DPC), Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica (INAF-RM).

Foreign institutions involved: University of California, Berkeley, USA; University of S. Louis, MO, USA; GFZ-Potsdam, Germany; CNRS-Grenoble, France; Boise State University, USA.

2. Introduction and Rationale

- Constraints and Expectations

The main lines of research for this project have been defined by the Project Committee (CdP hereafter) following a top-down approach. This approach has the advantage of focussing the research activity of the geological/geophysical community within a limited number of selected targets, which are of interest to the Civil Protection Agency (DPC hereafter). Within the defined research lines the CdP also suggested a number of expected products and deliverables. The ideal steps would have been to call the community for submitting proposals within the given research lines, and subsequently to proceed selecting the project director, on the basis of his/her assembled project. Alternatively, the call should have been issued for the research lines rearranged from the original CdP indications by the project director. For several reasons, chiefly related to shortage of time, the project directors have been selected first and the subsequent project call was open, just for few days, for the lines originally defined by the CdP. This procedure, besides leaving very little time to the research community, left also a rather marginal role for the project director in terms of focussing from the beginning the research lines.

Moreover, the lines of research are broad, both in regional cover and themes, and are suitable for a 3-year project, but can hardly be accommodated within a one-year project, and the results expected from some integrated studies likely require more than one-year work to be fulfilled.

The fundings made available are limited (300 kEuro) and have imposed a sharp selection among the submitted proposals. It might be also worth mentioning that a defined partitioning between INGV (40%) and non-INGV (60%) research groups had to be followed in subdividing the funds.

At the end of the call 67 proposals have been submitted, all of which are scientifically sound and follow, with some exception, the indicated lines of research. The shortage of time, however, sometimes appears in the writing. The requested fundings totalled ca. 2 M€, i.e. more than six times the available amount, likely reflecting the time of scarce research fundings.

Finally, the number of Research Units has been fixed to a maximum of ten, and this limitation sometimes required to re-assemble units and move people across units.

- Criteria of selection

A selection procedure has been applied to a large number of proposals that were sometimes largely overlapping, with the attempt to assemble them within a coherent project. This task proved to be far from easy, and the little time available made it difficult to negotiate for the best compromise. Some refinements, with reasonable hope, can be done within each task during the course of the project.

Given that the requested fundings greatly exceeded the available funds, proposals that are addressing areas not directly indicated in the research lines have not been considered. Other proposals are addressing marginal issues within the given research lines. Some of these proposals are quite interesting and are certainly worth considering in the event that the project can continue for 3 years, but have not been considered in the present one-year formulation.

After this coarse selection, however, the number of projects and the amount of requested fundings still greatly exceeded the given constraints. Therefore, a fund reduction were applied to all of the proposals, and the proposals with a high cost compared to the expected results have been ranked of lower priority.

The proposals broadly follow few groups: earthquake geology, regional geology, seismology and geodesy. In this first year, priority has been given to the studies addressing seismology, geodesy and, partly, earthquake geology. The studies more focussed on regional geology are left to a second phase, when current deformation zones

have been better outlined by seismology and geodesy. Earthquake geology studies, on the other hand, address specific faults or fault systems that are known to be active.

Several proposals concerning geophysical acquisition, with various methods, have been submitted. Special attention has been given to these proposals. The cost of field work is typically rather high, particularly for the low budget of this project, and spatial coverage is limited. For this reason, it is believed that this kind of work would be better suited for a subsequent stage of the project, when the sites of relevant interest could be more precisely located.

Within the task description, that follows below, some indications on the possible future continuation of the project, within a 3-year perspective, have been given.

3. Project description

3.1 Organization and Management

- Structure of the Project.

The project has been structured in Sub-projects and Tasks. The Sub-projects address regional-scale issues and specific targets within a region, with exception of Sub-project S1d, which aims at promoting an integrated approach for earthquake geology. The tasks address the specific issues with the contribution of the Research Units (RU hereafters). The expected results and deliverables are indicated for each task, together with the assigned budget.

Research Units have been assembled in order to account for the limitations imposed by number of units and subdivision percentage of funds.

For logistic and administrative reasons, and given the large numbers of proposals from different institutions, it has been decided to define each RU by grouping there most of the research scientists belonging to the same institution. The tasks, instead, are composed by groups of deliverables that contribute to the task objectives; each deliverable has its own consistency. A responsible has been assigned to each deliverable in order to make the structure as simple as possible.

Note that papers are cited in the text but a reference list is not given here; for the references see the RU sheets

Sub-project S1a – The Po Plain: Studies aiming at defining the crustal velocity structure and present-day deformation in the Po Plain and surrounding regions.

Task a.1. Crustal velocity structure of the Po Plain

The crustal velocity structure of the Po Plain is still poorly defined, although it represents a key knowledge for issues that span from precise hypocentral location, to seismic shaking simulation, to long-term crustal deformation. This task aims at obtaining a detailed 3D crustal seismic velocity model that accounts for the complex subsurface geology of the Po Plain. Different approaches, possibly affected by different sensitivities and inherent limits, will be compared by the research groups to ensure an assessment of the variability of the results. The following RUs contribute to the task: INGV-BO, INGV-RM, OGS-TS.

Contribution from INGV-BO and ISMAR-BO

a) Goals

- definition of 3D Vs models for Po Plain area, at crustal and lithospheric depths

- definition of Vp/Vs model for the Po Plain
- constrain the Po Plain 2-D and 3-D velocity models, obtained by the analysis of active and passive seismological data (using different techniques), with gravity data at high resolution.

b) Activity

For the definition of the 3D velocity model structure, information are needed relating to seismic surveys and drillings carried out in the region. The seismic reflection profiles provide important information on the depth of the main interfaces of discontinuity and also on the structure of the analyzed P section; from wells and deep cores direct and independent information are obtained on the structure of the sedimentary layer which is of considerable importance under the seismic scenarios shaking and site effects. The integration of this information will be done using geo-statistical methods to interpolate the discrete data in a consistent and comprehensive model, according to a suitable format for geophysical imaging and calculations.

It is intended to determine the S velocity structure of the crust by performing tomographic inversions from passive seismology, mainly correlating seismic noise measurements made at seismographic stations of the national network in the area. In the process of inversion it is proposed to maintain strong constraints on the discontinuities' obtained from geological data, to determine a realistic model, in which the discontinuities are explicitly represented.

The model of P and S velocity will be integrated with the density structure obtained by modeling of gravity data (Tondi, in collaboration with Milan Polytechnic) in order to determine a complete 3D model of the crust. The information provided by the gravity sensitive sedimentary layers, will also be used to interpolate the structure between adjacent seismic profiles. The gravity study is divided into two activities. The former (to be performed in the first semester) is the combination of the satellite gravity data, and in particular those coming from the GOCE mission, with the Italian gravimetric database in the Po Plain. This combination is useful to derive an "optimum" gravity data-set to be used in the subsequent geophysical analysis. In fact, it can be stated that the GOCE mission provides observations that are independent from ground gravity data and that allow to improve the estimate of low and medium frequencies of the Earth gravity field. Vice versa the ground gravity data, thanks to their high spatial density and the low heights at which they are acquired, allow to obtain a spatial resolution much higher than the one achievable by GOCE (i.e. about 80 km). The expected benefit of the proposed combination is therefore to avoid that errors in the geopotential low degrees can produce systematic effects (such as biases and trends) at local level, thus leading to possible interpretation errors. The second activity (to be performed in the second semester) consists in the integration of the seismological data available in the Po Plain with the gravimetric data that have been previously obtained and that contain the information of both ground and satellite observations. On the basis of this integration, crustal velocity and density 3-D models in the Po Plain will be derived in such a way to be compatible with both data-sets.

c) Methodology

It is planned to use and integrate different types of geological and geophysical information to

derive a detailed structure model of the crust in the Po-Plain region (and surrounding bands detected) and validate the new model with numerical simulations. The model will be validated by comparing the synthetic seismograms obtained with sample data recorded for some earthquakes, eventually chosen from those previously located within this project.

For the numerical simulations the software SPECFEM3D will be used, that implements a

method for solving the equation of the wave using the spectral element and is able to guarantee a good tradeoff between accuracy of the solution and computation time. A fully 3D computing grid will be used, taking into account major discontinuities. Furthermore, we propose to simulate the shaking caused by earthquakes or recent history, reaching periods of shaking of engineering interest (4-5 seconds) by comparing the results obtained with macroseismic and strong motion data.

The methodology that it is going to be used for the gravimetric data combination is based on the collocation principle (Sansò 1986; Pail et al. 2010). This methodology, after a suitable modelling of the covariance structure of the ground data, of the satellite ones and of their cross-covariances, allows to combine the two data-sets minimizing the mean square estimation error. As for the gravity data to be used, the GOCE information can come from either the space-wise spherical harmonic global model (Migliaccio et al. 2011) or grids of potential second order radial derivatives at mean satellite altitude (these grids obviously have to cover a larger area than the one under study at ground level) (Migliaccio et al. 2007). The ground information is instead given by the gravimetric database already used for the computation of the Italian geoid (for instance ITALGEO05, Barzaghi et al. 2007). The software of Sequential Integrated Inversion, recently parallelized to allow the analysis of a wide data-set and a large number of parameters (Tondi et al. 2012), will be used to optimize the 2-D and 3-D velocity models obtained in the Po Plain with different techniques. The approach, whose validity has been confirmed by several applications with real data (Vesuvius area: Tondi & de Franco 2006; Vrancea: Tondi et al. 2009; Friuli area: Bressan et al. 2012; European continental area: Tondi et al. 2012), permits to optimize the input velocity model obtained by seismological data analysis and to simultaneously obtain a density model of the area under study. The method is not based on a joint inversion but on an independent analysis of the two data-sets (the seismological and the gravimetric ones), that interact to each other by means of a probability density function. In the first step, a 2-D or 3-D velocity model is defined from seismological data. In the second step, the information on the gravimetric data (including their uncertainties), together with a space dependent relation between velocity and density (a priori defined or updated by the software) and with information on the covariance structure of the velocity model, allows to define a joint probability function. The solution of the problem is computed according to the maximum probability principle (Tarantola 2005).

Contribution from INGV-RM

a) Goals

Obtaining the shallow crustal structure of the Po Plain, in order to be used for earthquake moment tensor studies.

b) Activities

- a) gathering a data set of waveforms (two weeks);
- b) use of the Multiple Filter Technique (MFT) for estimating group velocities along certain crustal paths across the Po flood plain (two weeks);
- c) mode recognition and inversion of the velocity structure (two weeks);
- d) Calculation of the Moment tensor solutions for a number of events of the sequence (three weeks).

c) Methodology

The Mirandola seismic sequence generated so far a great deal of broadband recordings that will be used for the definition of a crustal velocity structure to be used for the inversion of the moment tensor in routine applications; The crustal structure will fit the dispersion of surface wave group velocities in the 0.01 – 0.1 frequency band. The base technique for

the dispersion study is that of the Multiple Filter Technique (MFT), as defined by Herrin and Goforth (1977). The implementation of the technique is that by Herrmann (Computer Programs in Seismology). A recent, complete application is that by Herrmann, Malagnini e Munafo' (2011). In the present case, however, due to fund shortage, we will limit our deliverable to the definition of a velocity structure, and to the calculation of a number of moment tensor solutions.

Contribution from OGS-TS

a) Goals

- 3D crustal velocity model from surface wave tomography of earthquakes recorded in and around the Po Plain
- The validation will consist in the evaluation of the model's capability to reproduce by means of calculation the ground motion observed during major seismic events of May 2012.
- Processing a seismic line in the Po plain in order to obtain 1) the real geometries in depth of the shallower structures, and 2) a detailed velocity field of the first kilometer in depth.

b) Activities

- Phase 1

- a) 3D shear wave velocity model from tomographic inversion of surface waves
- b) Vuan et al.,(2011) shear wave velocity model validation
- c) Seismic processing of a seismic line in the Po plain with the aim to obtain at first a time seismic section

Phase 2

- a) Improvement of the surface wave tomography by integration and comparison with other models developed in the frame work of S1
- b) Validation of the 3D model's capability to reproduce in the frequency band up to 1 Hz the ground motion observed during the major seismic events of May 2012 in the Po Plain.
- c) Pre-stack depth migration to obtain a seismic section in depth and an accurate velocity field

c) Methodology

Surface wave tomography methods are based on Debayle and Sambridge, (2004). An application of tomography methods is provided in Lodolo et al. (2010). The validation of the 3D model will be obtained by comparing the synthetic seismograms and the recorded seismograms according to the most recent waveform-misfit criteria (Mayhew and Olsen, 2010). The synthetic seismograms will be computed with a parallel code for the simulation of seismic wave propagation in 3D anelastic heterogeneous media. It has been developed at the OGS (Klin et al., 2010) and is fully compatible in accuracy with other codes used worldwide (Moczo et al, 2010). Seismic data will be processed with the aim to increase the signal noise ratio and to preserve the relative amplitude of the reflections. Then, the Kirkooff algorithm, that allows lateral velocity variations, will be used to perform the pre-stack depth migration. Re-location methods and automatic pickers are described in Turino et al. (2012).

Task a.2. Present-day deformation of the Po Plain

The recent progress in GPS networks coverage in northern Italy allows to estimate with better accuracies, and higher spatial density, the horizontal, and even vertical, velocity gradients across the Po Plain and adjacent regions. In particular, the combined use of

velocities from both GPS and InSAR appears promising for assessing the present day-deformation due to active tectonics, separating other, short-wavelength, local anthropogenic deformation. GPS provide precise measurements of crustal deformation realized in a well defined terrestrial reference frame, while InSAR data offers a higher spatial resolution, although it measures only a component of the total crustal motion rates. A key issue is that the gradients from a velocity field determined from space geodesy can be used to infer the region of main crustal deformation, which can be modelled assuming elastic dislocation theory, in order to infer kinematic and geometric information of potential seismogenic faults. The InSAR velocity data can be used to validate the signal observed by GPS and possibly to isolate any anthropogenic, short-wavelength process, and to study the variation of the degree of coupling on faults from the elastic block-model inversion. Ultimately, by using geological and palaeo-seismological data, these deforming areas can be identified as faults or fault systems, for which deformation rates can be computed, proving valuable information for an evaluation of the seismic potential of the fault system. The following RUs contribute to the task: INGV-BO, IREA and INGV-RM. Although several activities are in common, analysis of InSAR data will be mainly carried out by the IREA RU, whereas modelling using GPS data will be mainly carried out by INGV-BO. The analysis of the present-day stress field is carried out by the INGV-RM RU

Contribution from INGV-BO

a) Goals

- definition of the 3D interseismic GPS velocity field, in Northern Italy
- estimation of regional deformation field for Northern Italy
- estimates of velocity gradients from GPS and InSAR observations, for Emilia-Romagna, Veneto and Lombardia area

b) Activity

It is planned to validate the tectonic information contained in the observed velocity gradients observed from space geodesy over the Northern Apennines, Po Plain and Southern Alps.

It is aimed to modelling velocity gradients, particularly those from GPS networks, by testing different scenarios of active fault systems and degree of coupling. Moreover, being available a larger number of GPS stations for which it is now possible to estimate a velocity, compared to the previous seismological DPC projects, we propose to implement a procedure for optimally invert fault geometric parameters from GPS data (which in the previous DPC projects were assumed to be known to a priori external information). Furthermore, where allowed by the number and density of GPS stations, the degree of variable interseismic coupling on fault planes will be studied. The InSAR velocity data will be used to validate the signal observed by GPS and possibly to isolate any anthropogenic, short-wavelength process. This contribution, acknowledging the results obtained in the previous DPC seismological projects, aims at finalizing the work already done, but using new denser and more precise data and new procedures for the modeling of the velocity gradients observed by space geodesy techniques, such as GPS and InSAR.

c) Methodology

State-of-the-art GPS data analysis using software tools to process raw data obtained from the INGV RING network and other public GPS networks and semi-continuous networks in the Northern Apennines and Montello area.

Position time-series will be analyzed to estimate the linear velocity term, and its uncertainties, and any non-linear term that can be associated with other non-tectonic processes, to be compared with InSAR time-series from other RU (IREA, Bernardino et al).

Horizontal GPS velocities will be used to estimate the regional strain-rate field, adopting a procedure already used during the previous DPC seismological projects and other works (e.g. Serpelloni et al., 2010; Bennett et al. 2012).

Velocity gradients across the Po Plain in Emilia-Romagna and Veneto along a SW-NE oriented profile, and across the Montello area, along a SSE-NNW oriented profile (about parallel to crustal motion rates with respect to Eurasia) will be compared with velocity gradients from InSAR velocities.

Kinematic elastic block modeling approach (Meade and Loveless, 2009; Serpelloni et al., 2010) in order to develop a kinematically consistent model of crustal velocities and fault slip-rates of Northern Italy.

Estimate of fault geometries and slip-rates that best fit the observed velocity gradients and compare the velocity gradients from GPS with observations from InSAR velocities, in order to isolate anthropic, non-tectonic, sources of deformation.

Contribution from IREA

a) Goals

- estimates of tectonic strain in the Po Plain throughout a joint use of GPS and InSAR data. The spatial coverage and resolution of InSAR will allow the discrimination of the subsiding areas (both natural and anthropogenic). By appropriate modelling of the horizontal components the tectonic field will be corrected for high-spatial-frequency noise. This corrected horizontal tectonic strain field will improve our knowledge on the rate of tectonic strain accumulation and a resulting improvement of seismogenic potential assessment.

b) Activity

- Processing of INSAR data and reduction ENVISAT SBAS time series.
- Processing of the GPS time series to derive linear trend and annual components and associated uncertainties.

Both Activities will cooperate for the alignment of SBAS time series in a GPS-derived reference frame and separation of subsidence phenomena from the GPS velocity field.

c) Methodology

SBAS time series and GPS time series of continuous stations falling within the area of interest, with a significant temporal overlap with SBAS time series, will be provided by the INGV-CNT GPS analysis center using GIPSY-OASIS software from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory. A subset of stations un-affected by non-linear velocities and with a significant coverage of SBAS data, will be used to calculate an offset between the local SBAS velocity field and a GPS-derived reference frame (ITRF or Eurasia). In this way both displacements fields will be analysed in the same reference. A modelling approach will be derived to calculate the horizontal components of high-spatial-frequency subsidence phenomena. This effect will be removed from the horizontal and vertical GPS velocity fields to improve the low-spatial-frequency tectonic signal.

Contribution from INGV-RM

a) Goals

It is proposed to increase the knowledge about active stress field in the Po Plain, concerning stress orientations (areal and depth distribution) but also, where possible, evaluating the magnitude of at least a component of the stress tensor.

b) Activity

Previously analyzed data will be revised in order to extract more detailed information and new analysis will be performed, new data acquisition permitting. Comparison of stress analysis with other data will allow to better constrain our results and to interpret them critically, pointing out possible differences in stress orientations with depth. Moreover, where possible, different borehole logs will be analysed to estimate the vertical stress magnitude. Orientation smoothed maps will allow to get reliable information where data are poor.

c) Methodology

Concerning the horizontal stress orientations borehole breakout analysis in deep wells will be used. Breakouts are one the most reliable active stress indicators and provide information about depths complementary to those investigated with focal mechanism data and outcropping active faults. The method is wellknown and uses downhole measurements to obtain information about horizontal stress orientations. Data from oriented tools such as dipmeter (e.g. SHDT, HDT) or others that provide borehole "images" (e.g. FMI, BHTV).

Activities in the event of a 3-year project

Refinement of some of the studies on 3D crustal velocity structure carried out in the first year, also involving other groups that adopt different techniques to validate the results. Proposals in this line have been already submitted and their funding request should be evaluated for the purpose of the project.

Exploring the potential of integrating different geodetic techniques, in the event that the results obtained in the first year appear promising. Extending and improving the modelling of present-day deformation with the feedback from geological/geophysical studies.

Sub-project S1b - Assessing the seismogenic potential of active structures in the Po Plain

Task b.1. Montello: seismic and geodetic deformation

In the Montello region the data from the multi-parametric seismic network OMBRA, active from Summer 2010 to Autumn 2011, will be used in addition to the national seismic network to outline the seismicity and seismogenic potential of the area. The research will benefit from integration with deformation maps obtained from geodetic studies.

a) Goals

- relocation of seismic events, recorded in the Montello area (from June 2010 to November 2011) with the use of appropriate regional and local velocity models
- study of the geometry of possible seismogenic structures through the definition of event clusters with the use of double-difference techniques
- estimation of kinematic and geometrical parameters of possible active faults focal mechanism calculation for main events and/or within clusters of events, in order to study active deformation
- realization of a local stress map through the evaluation of crustal anisotropy parameters and their time/space variation

b) Activity

From the summer 2010 through the fall 2011, in the context of the project OMBRA [Observing Montello Broad Activity] a multi-parametric temporary network (seismic and geodetic) operated for the monitoring of local seismicity in the area of Montello (Treviso province) and for the study of active deformation.

Preliminary analyses show a discrete seismic activity in the area considered, in addition to that reported by the National Seismic Network.

It is intended to characterize in detail the local seismicity, also with the use of calibrated velocity patterns and techniques of double-difference to identify any cluster of similar events. Later, the kinematics of the source will be studied, with the calculation of focal mechanisms for the more severe events and possibly for clusters of similar events.

c) Methodology

By using the updated velocity models the earthquake hypocentral solutions will be recalibrated. Re-parametrization of seismic clusters will be achieved by using non-linear relocalization techniques already tested for other earthquakes.

Task b.2. Ferrara Arc: subsurface geometry and palaeoseismology – hints for fault segmentation

The recent earthquakes that stroke the area between Modena and Ferrara have risen substantial public concern about the seismic hazard in the Po Plain. The sparse instrumental seismicity of the area was characterized by deep events of relatively low magnitude, whereas historical seismicity suggested a few possible seismogenic sources related to the Ferrara Arc, which are spatially largely discontinuous. The occurrence, nine days later, of a second event of magnitude comparable to the first earthquake, but located ca. 20 km apart, revealed that fault segmentation is a key aspect of the thrust system underlying the Ferrara Arc. Recurrence time for the Po Plain earthquakes may be several 100s yr. and even the historical record can miss the whole picture. Palaeoseismological and geological studies are required in order to address the recurrence time and, perhaps most important, to attempt identifying the extent of the segments that characterize the thrust system of the Ferrara Arc. The length of individual segments, provided that they remain individual through time, can give information about the maximum potential earthquake. The relocation of the May-June 2012 earthquakes, carried out by the OGS-TS and INGV-BO RUs, using the RAN (Rete Accelerometrica Nazionale) and INGV network, respectively, should better outline the nature of fault segmentation during this last seismic sequence.

Paleoseismology in alluvial plain is challenging, particularly when the deformation rates are low and sedimentation rates high, as it is the case of the Po Plain. A study dedicated to the process of liquefaction and to its sedimentary record is also included in the task. The aim of the task is to combine regional subsurface studies to paleoseismological and historical studies in order to characterize the seismogenic potential of the Ferrara Arc structure. Particular attention will be given to the occurrence of fault segmentation. Analogue modelling will be also performed to attempt a kinematic evolution of the deformation.

The following RUs contribute to this task: ISMAR-BO, INGV-RM, OGS-TS.

Contribution from ISMAR-BO

a) Goals

- i) identify the structures responsible for the May-June 2012 earthquake and define its geometry
- ii) investigate the nature of possible fault segmentation in the subsurface
- iii) attempt to estimate the number and extent of fault segments
- iv) attempt to integrate different-scale surface and subsurface information to help defining the recent tectonic activity

v) analogue modelling of the deformation of the Ferrara Arc, both at the scale of the whole structure and at local-scale.

b) Activity

- 1) Broadscale compilation of subsurface geological data so as to build a coarse lithological model to be used as input for the definition of the crustal velocity model.
- 2) analysis of subsurface geological data made available by Eni E&P
- 3) acquisition of Vs velocity profiles across the Ferrara-Casaglia anticline
- 4) acquisition of a multichannel and Chirp sonar profile along a water way that crosses the Ferrara Arc structure
- 5) interpretation of the geological and geophysical data
- 6) integrated interpretation of subsurface data
- 7) set up of the analogue modelling program after considering subsurface geological data

c) Methodology

- Structural and stratigraphical interpretation of commercial seismic profiles and well data
- Detailed stratigraphic correlation of shallow subsurface stratigraphic data
- Acquisition and interpretation of HVSR Vs velocity profiles
- Acquisition and interpretation of a high-resolution multichannel seismic profile
- Analogue modelling properly scaled to reproduce the key structural and stratigraphic geometries identified from subsurface data and their kinematic evolution.

Contribution from INGV-RM

a) Goals

- analysis of liquefactions in the area of 2012 Emilia earthquake:

The study of the liquefactions characterizing the 2012 Emilia seismic sequence is particularly important to: i) define the areas with high, medium or low liquefaction hazard, ii) find evidence for paloliquefactions.

The main goal is the recognition, characterization and dating of paloliquefactions. This target is of interest for all the alluvial plains located in seismically active areas but, in a first stage, our research will focus on: recognition and dating of liquefaction events predating those related to the 1570 and 2012 in the Emilia area, and correlation to earthquakes of the past.

- palaeo-seismological and geomorphotectonic investigations in the area of the 2012 Emilia earthquake:

Improvement of the knowledge on the seismogenic sources of the Ferrara Arc, particularly on the issue of the recurrence time and the segmentation. An update of the presently inconclusive seismotectonic framework is expected.

b) Activity

- analysis of liquefactions in the area of 2012 Emilia earthquake:

- i) Revision and interpretation of 2012 liquefaction surveys
- ii) Revision and interpretation of available liquefaction historical record
- iii) Detailed geomorphological analysis of the 2012 epicentral area
- iv) Sites selection and exploratory trenching and coring
- v) Liquefaction events characterization and dating by laboratory analyses

- Palaeo-seismological and geomorphotectonic investigations in the area of the 2012 Emilia earthquake:

Paleoseismological investigations at sites where coseismic surface ruptures have been observed, related or not to events of liquefaction, during the seismic sequence of May-June 2012. Geomorphological investigations to define a paleo-hydrographic network related to the Late Pleistocene-Holocene. Merger of the geomorphological data with archaeological information on the altitude of the past levels of frequentation.

c) Methodology

- Analysis of liquefactions in the area of 2012 Emilia earthquake:

The case study of the Emilian plain will be used to refine identification and characterization techniques applicable on liquefactions. Geomorphology (air photos analysis, satellite and thermal images interpretation, etc) and historical research, together with a full survey of the coseismic effects related to the 2012 sequence, will guide liquefaction site selection; exploratory trenches and cores will be used to study the stratigraphy and the deformational structures (see De Martini et al. 2012 for more details). Different laboratory analyses (grain size, chemical and physical properties, microstructural, etc) will be of use for the sediment characterization. Estimate of sedimentation rate and datings of paleoliquefaction deposits will rely mainly on Pb210 and Cs137, C14, OSL and paleomagnetism (secular variations).

- Palaeo-seismological and geomorphotectonic investigations in the area of the 2012 Emilia earthquake:

-Palaeoseismological trenching

-Geomorphological studies to define a detailed paleo-hydrographic scheme

-Geological and archaeoseismological data merging.

Contribution from INGV-BO

a) Goals

relocation of seismic events recorded in the Po Plain, including the 2012 seismic sequence, with the use of appropriate regional and local velocity models

b) Activity

A systematic data set of all the INGV station recordings, from both national and local networks, will be implemented, and organized so as to re-parametrized the events with the new 1D and 3D velocity models.

Special attention will be given to identify the areas with greater release of seismic energy (seismicity clusters).

c) Methodology

By using the updated velocity models the earthquake hypocentral solutions will be recalibrated. Re-parametrization of seismic clusters will be achieved by using non-linear relocalization techniques already tested for other earthquakes.

Contribution from OGS-TS

a) Goals

- Testing of automatic pickers by comparison with manually revised seismic events and by using updated velocity models, study of the related source parameters

b) Activity

Phase 1

- Setup of a waveform database (accelerometric and velocimetric recordings from permanent and temporary stations) for the recent Po plain earthquakes

- Revised manual location of seismic data recorded during the last earthquakes in Emilia

Phase 2

- Application of automatic location techniques to the manually revised locations obtained at phase 1
- Evaluation of the performance of automatic pickers and definition of uncertainties in earthquake location, valuation of seismic source parameters
- Implementation of new automatic pickers in real time

c) Methodology

The re-location methods and automatic pickers used for this work are described in Turino et al. (2012).

Task b.3. Po Plain Historical Seismicity

Attempts to reconstruct fault planes for some selected historical earthquakes will be made within this task. Task activities carried out by the OGS-TS RU.

a) Goal

- Source parameters of some earthquakes in Emilia-Romagna, compatibility of Asolo 1695 with the Montello structure and information on Ferrara 1570, all inferred from inversion of macroseismic data

b) Activity

Phase 1

- Analyses of the intensities of the Ferrara, 1570 earthquake
- Source parameters inferred from inversion of macroseismic data of other earthquakes in the Po Plain (e.g. Bassa Padana M=5.5 1909)
- Constrained inversion of Asolo, 1695 to understand if it is compatible with the Montello structure

Phase 2

- Source inversion of macroseismic data of Ferrara, 1570
- Same for more earthquakes in the Po Plain (e.g. Bassa Padana M=5.5 1909)

c) Methodology

Source inversion from macroseismic intensity data of pre-instrumental earthquakes are performed with some original codes developed at OGS using a kinematic model enough simple to be applied to these peculiar data. Similarities of focal mechanisms in the recent sequence in Emilia will be measured using the disorientation concept (Kagan, 1990; Kagan, 1991; Kagan, 1992; Cavallini and Pettenati, 2005).

Activities in the event of a 3-year project

For a continuation of the project it would be of interest to extend the studies on other segments of the Po Plain and surroundings (i.e., Southern Alps, possibly including geological work on the Montello area, inner Western Alps, and Northern Apennines). Proposals in this line have already been submitted and their funding request should be evaluated for the purpose of the project.

Geophysical acquisition on selected limited areas are also of interest for this Sub-project, and can address specific earthquake geology issues that result from the first year project. Proposals in this line have been already submitted, and fundings should be evaluated according to the addressed targets.

Studies of historical seismology should continue in order to keep updating and completing the historical record; this kind of studies are a fundamental premise in guiding geophysical/geological studies in region of low deformation-rate and long earthquake recurrence time and should have high priority in the next years of activity.

Sub-project S1c - Studies aiming at defining the seismicity and present-day deformation in the Sannio region and at the Calabro-Lucania boundary

Task c.1. GPS geodesy and the seismotectonics of the Sannio region

Within this task geodetic studies will be used, together with seismological data, to obtain a regional picture of the deformation field and of the seismogenic potential of the Sannio region. GPS and InSAR data will be used to achieve the task's expected results. This task is carried out by the INGV-RM RU. Interaction with the other Tasks of S1c is strongly recommended.

a) Goals

The analysis of the data obtained from the GPS allows to obtain a good resolution of the deformation field and the production of the Velocity Field Map relative to the period 2002-2012 for the vertices which have a time series with at least three measures. Moreover, in view of the considerable area size, integrating GPS - PSInSAR We could show the areas in greatest deformation and a definition of the strain-rate of the country.

b) Activity

Network Check (September 2012);
GPS Survey (October 2012);
GPS Data Processing (February 2013)
PSInSAR Data Processing (March 2013)
GPS Data Integration PS-InSAR (June 2013)

c) Methodology

The Static GPS technique will be used to define the deformations in the Sannio area. The first phase of work will be to check the existing vertices and dataset validation with the upgrade of monographs, proceeding to the installation of new 3D vertices where found to be destroyed or not measurable. Having available RING data, the SAGNet will be re-measured, joining it to the existing GPS networks in Irpinia to the South, to the network CA.Geo.Net [Anzidei et al., 2008] to the North and to the GPS network of Bojano (CB) to the North-East [Dolce et al., 2008]. The data from the whole database (2002-2012) will be processed with the Bernese 5.0 scientific software to the latest standards and adopting the IGS analysis strategies most commonly used [Sepe et al., 2009].

In addition, the GPS data will be integrated with the data resulting from the application of the areal PSInSAR technique in order to have a complete deformation framework.

The possibility of post-process and analyze SAR interferometric data already available to cover the territories of the Campania region relative to the periods 1992-2001 (Satellite ERS) 2003-2007 (Radarsat Satellite) [Vilardo et al., 2009], and SAR data at lower spatial resolution but covering the entire national territory acquired by the Envisat satellite in 2000-2008 and processed within the activities of the Remote Sensing of MATTM Extraordinary Plan, will enable the production of maps of the vertical deflection and East-West displacements, which will complement and enrich the information produced by the GPS data analysis.

Task c.2. Assessing the seismogenic potential of the Calabro-Lucania boundary.

Within this task geological, seismological and geodetic studies will be integrated to obtain a regional picture of the seismogenic potential of the Calabro-Lucania boundary. The areas located just to the north and south of the Pollino range, where the occurrence of closely spaced active faults is known, will be investigated in detail during this year of project using a multidisciplinary approach. The activity of this task are closely connected to those of Tasks d.1. and d.2.

The following RUs contribute to the task activity: INGV-RM, UniCal and UniCH.

As the activities of the INGV-RM RU within this task are highly multidisciplinary, they are described by deliverables.

Contribution from UniCal - Relocation of seismicity

The geological context and paleoseismological studies (Cinti et al., 1997; Michetti et al., 1997) allows to hypothesize the area being a seismic gap. Periods of microseismic activity characterized by dense swarms of seismic events are able to create alarm in populations. One of such swarms started in Autumn 2010 and is still active, after some alternating phases of increased and decreased frequency of the micro-events.

a) Goals

- i) characterize the two levels of seismic activity that characterize the area and their possible interrelation;
- ii) detect possible regularity in the migration of the activity from a structure to another;
- iii) assess the seismogenic potential of the geological structure of the area in order to evaluate also their long term hazard.

b) Activity

- i) detailed analysis of the instrumental recent seismicity, with particular attention to the present swarm, and set-up of a specific catalogue; a local velocity model will be defined, taking into account all available geological and geophysical data;
- ii) focal mechanisms evaluation by waveform inversion, by using techniques most suitable for mean-to-low energy events and determination, if possible, of the potentially seismogenic stress-fields, by using, if necessary, classical techniques.

Data collected by the CAT/SCAN array will be used to, together those from modern arrays operating in the area of interest. This will be the starting point of a seismological database that will be progressively extended both in time and toward low energies.

c) Methodology

We will adopt the update versions of the tomographic techniques already successfully used at regional scale. Hypocenters will be re-localized by means of both linear (Barberi et al. 2004) and non-linear (Presti et al., 2004) methods.

Waveform inversion will be performed by the "Cut and Paste" technique already used for mean-to-low energy events in adjacent areas (Zhu and Helmberger, 1996; D'Amico et al., 2011).

Contribution from INGV-RM

Contemporary stress field in the Calabria-Lucania region

a) Goals

We propose to increase the knowledge about active stress field in the Calabria-Lucania region, concerning stress orientations (areal and depth distribution) but also, where possible, evaluating the magnitude of at least a component of the stress tensor.

b) Activity

We will revise previously analyzed data to extract more detailed information and new analysis will be performed, new data acquisition permitting. Comparison of stress analysis with other data will allow to better constrain our results and to interpret them critically, pointing out possible differences in stress orientations with depth. Moreover, where possible, different borehole logs will be analysed to estimate the vertical stress magnitude. Orientation smoothed maps will allow to get reliable information where data are poor.

c) Methodology

Concerning the horizontal stress orientations borehole breakout analysis in deep wells will be used. Breakouts are one the most reliable active stress indicators and provide information about depths complementary to those investigated with focal mechanism data and outcropping active faults. The method is wellknown and uses downhole measurements to obtain information about horizontal stress orientations. Data from oriented tools such as dipmeter (e.g. SHDT, HDT) or others that provide borehole "images" (e.g. FMI, BHTV).

Deformation and seismic potential in the Calabria-Lucania border area from geodetic data

a) Goals

The goal of this project is to provide robust estimates of tectonic strain rate in the Pollino area. We will obtain estimates of accumulated strain on seismogenetic structures in the Pollino area, a well known "seismic gap" between Southern Apennines and Calabria, using continuous GPS measurements with at least two years of continuous GPS measurements.

b) Activity

Geodetic Assessment of active tectonic strain rate in the Pollino region

c) Methodology

In this project we will use GPS time series of continuous stations to obtain reliable interseismic velocity with at least 2 years of observations in a Eurasia reference frames. This velocity field will be used to calculate the strain rate field and the rate of strain accumulation on known active faults. The interseismic velocity field will be modeled in terms of creeping dislocation to reproduce the interseismic strain accumulation and slip rates on seismogenetic structures.

Characterization of the active faults and of coseismic effects in the Calabria-Lucania border area

a) Goals

- Recognition of the surface expression at local and regional scale of the active lineaments
- Definition of the geometric parameters of the faults and temporal behaviour in the medium and long term
- Recognition and analysis of the earthquake-induced effects, such as seismites
- Analysis of the historical record and archaeoseismological approach to evidence the high seismic shaking effects in the area
- Chronological constraints of palaeo-earthquakes and/or palaeoliquefactions with absolute dating techniques.

b) Activity

- Recognition of the surface expression at local and regional scale of the active lineaments

- Definition of the geometric parameters of the faults and temporal behaviour in the medium and long term
- Recognition and analysis of the earthquake-induced effects, such as seismites
- Analysis of the historical record and archaeoseismological approach to evidence the high seismic shaking effects in the area
- Chronological constraints of palaeo-earthquakes and/or palaeoliquefactions with absolute dating techniques.

c) Methodology

- Geophysical prospections (cores, ER, GPR) and palaeoseismological excavations
- Historical and archaeoseismological studies
- Quantitative geomorphology from high resolution topographic data (e.g. terrestrial Lidar, Total Station)
- Absolute dating techniques (e.g. C14, OSL, CI36, Ar/Ar).

Contribution from UniCH

a) Goals

The aim of the UR-Chieti is to contribute to the structural and seismotectonic study of the area comprised between the Mercure and Castrovillari Quaternary basins. A set of NS to NE-SW striking extensional faults dissect the Pollino mountain ridge south of Viggianello, but their Quaternary activity is not documented yet. Particularly, the Valle Caudolino and Pollinello faults (Ghisetti & Vez-zani,1994) seem of great interest. Their possible Quaternary activity contrasts with the hypothesized Holocene displacements on the Pollino fault, suggested by some authors, at least for the segment west of Civita (Michetti et al., 1997) also with associated high magnitude earthquakes ($6.5 < M < 7$). Other WNW-ESE striking extensional structures ($N110 \pm 10^\circ$), bounding the minor continental basins of Campotenesse and Morano Calabro show clear morphostructural evidence of Late Quaternary reactivations (Anticristo and Cozzo Vardo faults). Therefore, the structural setting of the study area is complex and the hierarchy of the possibly seismogenic structures is badly defined. We Believe that collecting new structural data along these faults and within the Late Pleistocene Quaternary deposits will aid us to solve these uncertainties, to understand the timing of the various fault sets and the cross-cut relationships among them.

The three-dimensional interaction occurring among the differently-oriented fault systems are of great interest because they could sensibly influence the main parameters (geometry and extent) of the seismogenic sources, with remarkable effects on the seismic potential of the area. In other words it is essential to understand if the $N110 \pm 10$ Pollino fault set, acting as transfer structure, delimit the NW-SE striking Mercure and Castrovillari faults preventing their further propagation or, on the contrary, if they were displaced by these latter, at least during the Late Quaternary times.

A further structural-geological goal is the recognition and the parameterization of east-dipping Quaternary faults outcropping west of the Mercure basin. One of these structures was recently described to outcrop along the east slope of the Mt Gada-Mt Ciagola ridge (Brozzetti, 2011) but data on its Late Quaternary activity are still lacking. A particular care will be given to the mapping and to the chronostratigraphic characteriza-tion of any syntectonic deposits associated to this kind of structures.

To notice that an east-dipping seismogenic structure was hypothesized, without identifying a fault at surface, by the authors of the DISS 3.0 database as causative fault of the Mercure 1998 earthquake

b) Activity

After a complete analysis of the literature, the UR-Chieti will carry out the geological survey and the meso-structural analysis of the west-dipping fault set outcropping within the area connecting the Mercure basin, the Pollino ridge and the Castrovillari basin. In the second part of the first semester, the east-dipping boundary fault of the Mt Gada-Mt Ciagola ridge and the Campotenese and the Morano Calabro basins, will be investigated. The main faults showing evidence of Late Pleistocene-Holocene activity and the associated syntectonic deposits will be mapped in detail (on 1:10.000 and 1:25.000 scale) also with the support of photo-geological analysis. Particular attention will be paid to the deformations affecting the Late Quaternary deposits.

Fieldwork will continue also in the first part of the second semester with a second phase of survey aimed to control and verify the critical points that will come out. At the same time a set of closely spaced serial geological sections across the main faults will be performed in order to evaluate the associated offsets and their along-strike variations.

The last three month will be devoted to define the three-dimensional geometry of the faults through the inte-gration of all the available subsurface data including the hypocentral locations of the instrumental earthquakes (most of which will be relocated). During this final part of the project, the database of the geometrical-kinematic parameters of the seismogenic sources will be compiled.

c) Methodology

Analysis of the geological literature;

Aerial Photo-geological interpretation (in collaboration with CNR-IRPI, Perugia);

Geological survey and structural-geological analysis along fault planes, within fault damag-zones and syn-tectonic Late Quaternary deposits;

Drawing of closely-spaced serial geological sections across the main faults in order to assess the amount of the displacement and its variation along-strike;

Drawing of balanced geological sections across the entire study area (from the Mt Gada-Mt Ciagola ridge to the Mercure-Pollino area);

Analysis of instrumental seismological data: relocation of the background seismicity and of the recently oc-curred seismic sequences by defining suitable velocity models;

Rheological analysis

Sub-project S1d Techniques for improving the study of earthquake geology: case studies

Task d.1. Assessing the seismogenic potential of the Mercure basin fault system

The Mercure basin is know to be bounded by active faults. The activity of this task aims at detailing the seismogenic potential of the faults using a mostly field-based paleoseismological approach. Accurate stratigraphy and datings will allow to define a reference scheme that, in turn, can help assessing the recurrence time of the main slip events. Comparison of results with the outcomes of tasks c.2. and c.3. will be of interest.

a) Goals

Evaluate the seismogenic potential of the fault system of the Mercure basin in terms of both recent faulting events and the overall, long-term tectonic history.

Last 2 Ma reconstruction of the Quaternary sedimentary, tectonic and geomorphological evolution of the Mercure basin.

b) Activity

- Analises of aerial photographs aimed to recognized the main morpho-structural units of the Mercure basin area, including the valley and the surrounding relief sectors.

- Geological and geomorphological survey of the Quaternary deposits and paleoenvironmental characterization of the main morphological and stratigraphic units.
- Tephrostratigraphic investigations including facies and electron microprobe analyses.
- Geochronological analyses including: paleomagnetism, tephrochronology, $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ and ^{14}C .
- Archive research on historical earthquakes
- Paleoseismological analyses

c) Methodology

Short-term, paleoseismological approach addressing the recent displacement history through the structural and chronological analyses of trench walls dug across the faults. Long-term displacement history as part of Quaternary geology by integrating geomorphological, stratigraphic, chronological and structural data.

Task d.2. 3D-GPR geophysical prospecting over a selected fault zone in the region of the Calabro-Lucania border.

This task is closely related to task c.2. The aim is to apply the GPR technique to a selected fault in order to obtain a 3D view of the volume of rock that contains the fault. The method looks promising and this application will help assessing its range of applicability.

a) Goals

Improved knowledge of the spatial position and characteristics of active faults in order to allow the correct location of structural elements in a geological map and to define the potential seismic hazard of the area.

b) Activity

It is proposed to support the activities of a Research Unit studying an active structure. The proposal includes:

1) a first phase of integration with a Research Unit to identify the main active structure to analyze and retrieve all pre-existing geological information (2 months)

Then it is proposed to perform the following investigations:

1) 2D GPR surveys and subsequent processing taking into account the pre-existing trenches to calibrate the geophysical data with the information of the stratigraphy of the trench (2 months);

2) 3D surveys and subsequent data processing (construction of the geological model of interpretation) in the fault zones to extend and improve the 3D image of the active fault (5 months);

3) 2D surveys and subsequent processing to test the lateral continuity of the fault and suggest possible new site trenches (3 months).

c) Methodology

The GPR data acquisition will be performed with the GPR Zond and with a GPS (Global Positioning System) which allows to georeference simultaneously tracks and profiles collected. Data processing will be conducted to identify and interpolate interesting horizons and to delineate the geometry of the fault. Interpretation is supported by the analysis of seismic attributes, which provide a view of information physically independent. These are used to extract additional information from GPR data and, compared to the classical interpretation techniques, allow a more effective and accurate interpretation. The entire 3D data set will be discussed and explored during the geophysical interpretation using free software (free and open source) as "OpendTect software."

Activities in the event of a 3-year project

Additional techniques and/or integration of techniques can be tested in carefully selected areas.

Recommended additional studies within the 3-year project scenario

Some proposal ranked as geographically marginal are particularly interesting and may be considered in the event that the project continues for 3 years.

For Task S1b3: the study of historical seismicity proposed by Camassi (INGV-BO) and Rovida (INGV-MI), which could not find room for proper fundings in this current project, is considered of high priority, as they help bridging the gap between instrumental and palaeoseismological studies.

For Tasks S1c2/d: Subsurface study based on high-resolution seismic acquisition of one or more of the E-W seismogenic faults supposed to be present in the subsurface of the southern Apennines (Scandone, UniPI): although the cost is relatively high, the study address a set of features which are often included in the data sets of seismogenic faults but which lack of substantial documentation.

For Task S1c2: the study of the Pollino-trend structures in the Taranto Gulf (Ceramicola, OGS), which is based on a large dataset of high-resolution multibeam bathymetry and echosoundings, can help assessing whether the Pollino structure continues into the offshore with similar structural expression.

For Task S1d. Studies which attempt to date fault activity using cosmogenic isotopes, such as that of Visini (UniCH) in the Melandro basin, or that of Molli (UniPI) in the Northern Apennines, can be of interest in order to achieve additional quantitative informatin on active fault systems and to broaden the expertise of Italian students on the subject.

Table summarizing the tasks and the contributing RUs.

| RU\Task | a1 | a2 | b1 | b2 | b3 | c1 | c2 | d1 | d2 |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| INGV-RM | x | x | | x | | x | x | | |
| INGV-BO | x | x | x | | | | | | |
| OGS | x | | x | x | x | | | | |
| ISMAR | x | | | x | | | | | |
| IGAG | | | | | | | | x | |
| IREA | | x | | | | | | | |
| UniCal | | | | | | | x | | |
| UniCH | | | | | | | x | | |
| UniPG | | | | | | | | | x |

6. Deliverables

The deliverables represent the building block of the project and a responsible has been assigned to each of them (see related Tab. in Personnel). The deliverables will also serve as input for the project geo-database that will be implemented by Maurizio Pignone (INGV

Grottaminarda), following the indication from the CdP. The relevant information will be typed into info-sheets, the format of which will be defined after an agreement with the DPC that takes into account the nature and type of the deliverables.

Note that the deliverables indicated in the list below represent a compact form of the deliverable listed in the individual UR forms. In fact, for sake of brevity and simplicity some of the individual deliverables have been grouped into a broader bin, as they were not considered as relevant by themselves as a product. In spite of this aggregation, when inspecting the list of deliverables in the URs form the correspondence can be easily found.

| ID | Deliverables | Task |
|-----------|--|-------------|
| D1 | Simplified geological model of the Po Plain subsurface, to be used as a constraint for the crustal velocity model | a1 |
| D2 | 3D crustal velocity model of the Po Plain and surrounding regions | a1 |
| D3 | 3D density model of the Po Plain and surrounding regions | a1 |
| D4 | Catalogue of moment tensor solutions for $M \geq 3$ earthquakes in the Po Plain region | a1 |
| D5 | Detailed 2D velocity profile of the uppermost crustal portions of the Ferrara Arc, obtained from analysis of multichannel seismic reflection profiles | a1 |
| D6 | GPS velocity and strain field in the Po Plain region | a2 |
| D7 | GPS field corrected for land subsidence, obtained from InSAR displacement, in the Po Plain | a2 |
| D8 | Numerical models of GPS deformation in the Po Plain and surrounding region | a2 |
| D9 | Maps with surface and depth distribution of stress field in the Po Plain | a2 |
| D10 | Relocated seismicity in the Montello region | b1 |
| D11 | Focal mechanisms in the Montello region | b1 |
| D12 | Kinematic modelling of the Montello region | b1 |
| D13 | Seismic fault parametrization of the Montello region | b1 |
| D14 | Subsurface structural maps of selected sectors of the Ferrara Arc | b2 |
| D15 | Correlation of high-resolution stratigraphic profiles in the subsurface of the Casaglia anticline (Ferrara Arc) | b2 |
| D16 | Paleoseismological maps of the western Ferrara Arc | b2 |
| D17 | Correlation, using chronological datings, between liquefaction events in the area of the May-June 2012 Emilia seismic sequence and known earthquakes of the past | b2 |
| D18 | Relocated seismicity in the Po Plain | b2 |
| D19 | Multichannel seismic and Chirp profiles along water ways of the Po Plain | b2 |
| D20 | Analogue models of deformation in the Ferrara Arc | b2 |
| D21 | Fault planes obtained from macroseismic inversion of selected earthquakes of the Montello and Po Plain. | b3 |
| D22 | GPS velocity and strain field in the Sannio region | c1 |
| D23 | Map of areas of maximum strain obtained by integration of GPS and SAR data in the Sannio area | c1 |
| D24 | GPS velocity and strain field in the Calabro-Lucania region | c2 |
| D25 | Parametrization of active faults in the Calabro-Lucania-region | c2 |
| D26 | Structural map of active faults in the Calabro-Lucania region | c2 |
| D27 | Relocated seismicity in the Calabro-Lucania region | c2 |
| D28 | Maps with surface and depth distribution of stress field in the Calabro-Lucania region | c2 |
| D29 | Integrated stratigraphy and seismological parametrization of active faults of the Mercure basin fault system | d1 |
| D30 | 3D GPR model of a sector of a selected fault plane (Calabro-Lucania border) | d2 |

7. Workplanning

Workplanning for tasks

| PHASE | I anno | |
|-----------------|--------|---|
| SEMESTER | 1 | 2 |
| Task | | |
| a1 – a2 | X | X |
| b1 – b2 – b3 | X | X |
| c1 – c2 | X | X |
| d1- d2 | X | X |

Timetable for INGV-RM activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|---|--------|---|
| Semester | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Activity i)</i> Creating the data base of selected waveforms MFT analysis Inverting for the velocity structure Producing moment tensor solutions for a number of events of the sequence | X | X |
| <i>Activity ii)</i> Revision of stress data; selection and acquisition of new data Analysis of new data; comparison with other data and interpretation | X | X |
| <i>Activity iii)</i> Revision and interpretation of 2012 liquefaction surveys Revision and interpretation of available liquefaction historical record Detailed geomorphological analysis of the 2012 epicentral area Sites selection and exploratory trenching and coring Liquefaction events characterization and dating by laboratory analyses | X | X |
| <i>Activity iv)</i> CGPS data processing and GPS time series analysis elaboration of the strain rate field and definition of the interseismic accumulation rate on the active faults | X | X |
| <i>Attività v)</i> Review of geological data Review and interpretation of historical data and archaeoseismological study Field survey, geophysical prospections, trenches and | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| sampling Analysis of earthquake induced-effects High resolution topographic data acquisition | X | X |
| Analysis and interpretation of the collected dataset | | X |
| <i>Attività vi)</i> Excavation of three palaeoseismological trenches Compilation of a geomorphological map | X | |
| Palaeoseismological trenching (one excavation) Data elaboration | | X |
| <i>Attività vii)</i> Network Check; GPS Survey. GPS Data Processing; PSInSAR Data Processing; GPS Data Integration PS-InSAR. | X | X |

Timetable for INGV-BO activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|--|------------|------------|
| | Semester 1 | Semester 2 |
| Activity 1: Seismicity absolute and relative relocation, Po Plain and Montello area | x | x |
| Activity 2: Focal mechanism calculation for examined events | | x |
| Activity 3: 3D velocity model inversion | x | - |
| Activity 4: Numerical simulations | | x |
| Activity 5: GPS data analysis and update of the velocity field for northern Italy | x | - |
| Activity 6: Estimate of the regional strain-rate field from horizontal GPS velocities; | x | - |
| Activity 7: Development of the segment-file (containing a priori information of faults and crustal blocks) necessary for the block-model code, including different scenarios of active fault systems | x | - |
| Activity 8: Integration of GPS and InSAR velocities in a common reference frame | x | - |
| Activity 9: Inversion of the velocity gradients across the Emilia-Romagna and Veneto Po Plain and across the Montello region and development of a procedure to optimally derive fault parameters | - | x |
| Activity 10: Estimate of best-fit fault geometries, slip-rates and fault coupling degree, and validation with InSAR velocities | - | x |
| Activity 11: GOCE and ground gravity data combination | x | - |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Activity 12: 2D and 3D velocity model integration with gravimetric data | - | x |
|---|---|---|

Timetable for OGS-TS activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|--|--------|---|
| | 1 | 2 |
| 3D shear wave velocity model from tomographic inversion of surface waves | x | x |
| Vuan et al.,(2011) shear wave velocity model validation | x | - |
| <i>Seismic processing of a seismic line in the Po plain with the aim to obtain at first a time seismic section</i> | x | |
| Setup of a waveform database (accelerometric and velocimetric recordings from permanent and temporary stations) for the recent Po plain earthquakes | x | |
| Revised manual location of seismic data recorded during the last earthquakes in Emilia | x | |
| Source parameters inferred from inversion of macroseismic data of the 1570 earthquake near Ferrara | x | |
| Source parameters inferred from inversion of macroseismic data of other earthquakes in the Po Plain (e.g. Bassa Padana M=5.5 1909) | x | |
| Improvement of the surface wave tomography by integration and comparison with other models developed in the frame work of S1 | | x |
| 3D crustal model validation from 3D numerical modelling | | x |
| Pre-stack depth migration to obtain a seismic section in depth and an accurate velocity field | | x |
| Application of automatic location techniques to the manually revised locations obtained at phase 1 | | x |
| Evaluation of the performance of automatic pickers and definition of uncertainties in earthquake location, implementation of new automatic pickers in <i>real time</i> | | x |
| Source parameters inferred from inversion of macroseismic data of other earthquakes in the Po Plain (e.g. Bassa Padana M=5.5 1909) | | x |
| Source parameters inferred from inversion of macroseismic data of 1695 "Asolo" earthquake | | x |

Timetable for ISMAR-BO activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|--|--------|---|
| | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Broadscale compilation of subsurface geological data so as to build a coarse lithological model to be used as input</i> | x | - |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| <i>fo the definition of the crustal velocity model</i> | | |
| <i>analysis of subsurface geological data made available by Eni E&P</i> | X | X |
| <i>acquisition of Vs velocity profiles across the Ferrara-Casaglia anticline</i> | X | |
| <i>acquisition of a mulichannel and Chirp sonar profile along a water way that crosses the Ferrara Arc structure</i> | X | |
| <i>interpretation of the geological and geophysical data</i> | X | X |
| <i>integrated interpretation of subsurface data</i> | | X |
| Subsurface data analysis, definition of the analogue models set-up | X | |
| Analogue modelling and related analysis | | X |

Timetable for IREA activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| | 1 | 2 |
| Semester | | |
| Activity 1 | SBAS | SBAS |
| Activity 2 | Analysis of GPS time series | GPS/SBAS integration |

Timetable for UniCal activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|---|--------|---|
| | 1 | 2 |
| Semester | | |
| Activity 1 analysis of instrumental seismicity | x | x |
| Activity 2 focal mechanisms evaluation by waveform inversion | | x |

Timetable for UniCH activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|---|--------|---|
| | 1 | 2 |
| Semester | | |
| Activity 1 Analysis of the geological literature | | synthesis of field data; elaboration of the Map of Active Fault of the study area; second survey phase aimed to verify the previous interpretations and to solve the critical points |

| | | |
|------------|---|---|
| Activity 2 | aerial photo-geological analysis - drawing maps reporting photo-interpreted stratigraphic and structural elements | drawing of serial geological sections across the main faults to assess the associate offset and its variation along-strike; drawing of balanced geological sections across the whole study area (from the Gada-Ciagola ridge to the west, to the Mercure-Pollino sector, to the east); analysis of the available subsurface geological data |
| Activity 3 | geological survey and structural analysis along the main Quaternary faults and within the Pleistocene-Holocene syntectonic deposits | analysis of historical and instrumental seismological data; re-location of background seismicity and of the seismic sequences recently occurred within the area; rheological analysis; elaboration of a database of the geometrical-kinematic source parameters of the active and seismogenic faults |

Timetable for UniPG activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|------------|--|---|
| Semester | 1 | 2 |
| Activity 1 | Integration with a Research Unit to identify the main active structure to analyze and retrieve all pre-existing geological information | |
| Activity 2 | 2D GPR surveys and processing taking into account pre-existing trenches | 2D surveys and subsequent processing to test the lateral continuity of the fault and suggest possible new site trenches |
| Activity 3 | 3D surveys and subsequent data processing | 3D surveys and subsequent data processing |

Timetable for IGAG activities

| Phase | I anno | |
|--|--------|---|
| Semester | 1 | 2 |
| Activity 1 aerial photograph analyses | x | |
| Activity 2 geological and geomorphological analyses | x | x |
| Activity 3 tephrostratigraphic investigations | x | |
| Activity 4 geochronological analyses | | x |
| Activity 5 research on historical earthquakes | x | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Activity 6 paleoseismological analyses | x |
|---|---|

8. Personnel

| Deliverable/Task | Deliverable responsible (surname and name) | Institution | Days/Person (not funded by the project) |
|------------------|---|------------------|--|
| | | | I anno |
| D1/a1 | Argnani Andrea | ISMAR-BO | 60 |
| D2/a1 | Morelli Andrea / Vuan Alessando | INGV-BO / OGS-TS | 90 / 220 |
| D3/a1 | Tondi Maria Rosaria | INGV-BO | 110 |
| D4/a1 | Malagnini Luca | INGV-RM | 90 |
| D5/a1 | Accaino Flavio | OGS-TS | 90 |
| D6/a2 | Serpelloni Enrico / Berardino Paolo | INGVBO | 60 /45 |
| D7/a2 | Berardino Paolo | IREA-NA | 45 |
| D8/a2 | Serpelloni Enrico | INGV-BO | 80 |
| D9/a2 | Mariucci Maria Teresa | INV-RM | 40 |
| D10/b1 | Danesi Stefania | INGV-BO | 70 |
| D11/b1 | Danesi Stefania | INGV-BO | 70 |
| D12/b1 | Serpelloni Enrico | INGV-BO | 80 |
| D13/b1 | Danesi Stefania | INGV-BO | 70 |
| D14/b2 | Argnani Andrea | ISMAR-BO | 100 |
| D15/b2 | Santarato Giovanni / Stefani Marco | ISMAR-BO | 280 |
| D16/b2 | Galadini Fabrizio | INGV-RM | 270 |
| D17/b2 | DeMartini Paolo | INGV-RM | 85 |
| D18/b2 | Massa Mauro / Costa Giovanni | INGV-BO / OGS-TS | 140 / 185 |
| D19/b2 | Argnani Andrea | ISMAR-BO | 120 |
| D20/b2 | Toscani Giovanni | ISMAR-BO | 300 |
| D21/b3 | Sirovich Livio | OGS-TS | 150 |
| D22/c1 | Sepe Vincenzo | INGV-RM | 630 |
| D23/c1 | Sepe Vincenzo | INGV-RM | 630 |
| D24/c2 | D'Agostino Nicola | INGV-RM | 150 |
| D25/c2 | Cinti Francesca | INGV-RM | 370 |
| D26/c2 | Brozzetti Francesco | UniCH | 210 |
| D27/c2 | Guerra Ignazio | UniCal | 690 |
| D28/c2 | Mariucci Maria Teresa | INGV-RM | 40 |

| | | | |
|--------|-------------------|---------|-----|
| D29/d1 | Giaccio Biagio | IGAG-RM | 180 |
| D30/d2 | Pauselli Cristina | UniPG | 230 |

Personale dell'UR INGV-RM

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona (personale non a carico del progetto) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| | | | I anno |
| Cinti Francesca R. | Ricercatore | INGV-RM1 | 60 |
| Cucci Luigi | Ricercatore | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Ventura Guido | Primo Ricercatore | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Paolo M. De Martini | Ricercatore | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Daniela Pantosti | Dirigente di ricerca | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Flavia Molisso | Ricercatore | IAMC-CNR | 15 |
| Marchetti Marco | Primo Tecnologo | INGV-RM2 | 30 |
| Stefano Pucci | Ricercatore | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Stefania Pinzi | Tecnico | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Laura Alfonsi | Primo Ricercatore | INGV-RM2 | 30 |
| Tertulliani Andrea | Primo Ricercatore | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Michetti Alessandro M. | Professore associato | Univ. Insubria | 30 |
| Livio Franz | Ricercatore | Univ. Insubria | 30 |
| Gambillara Roberto | Tecnico Laureato | Univ. Insubria | 30 |
| Brunori Carlo Alberto | Ricercatore | INGV-CNT | 30 |
| Patera Antonio | Tecnologo | INGV-RM1 | 20 |
| Maria T. Mariucci | Ricercatore | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Paola Montone | Dirigente di ricerca | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Simona Pierdominici | Ricercatore | GFZ- Potsdam | 20 |
| Malagnini Luca | Dirigente di Ricerca | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Irene Munafò | Dottoranda | INGV/UniBo | 30 |
| Kevin Mayeda | Ricercatore | Berkeley Seism, Observatory, Univ. California, USA | 15 |
| Robert Herrmann | Ricercatore | Dept. Earth and Atmospheric Sci., Saint Louis Univ., USA | 15 |
| D'Agostino Nicola | Primo Ricercatore | INGV-CNT | 60 |
| Avallone Antonio | Ricercatore a Contratto | INGV-CNT | 30 |
| D'Anastasio Elisabetta | Ricercatore a Contratto | INGV-CNT | 30 |
| Cecere Gianpaolo | Tecnologo | INGV-CNT | 30 |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----|
| Stefano Cremonini | Ricercatore | Uni-Bo | 30 |
| Emanuela Falcucci | Assegno di ricerca | INGV-CNT | 30 |
| Giandomenico Fubelli | Ricercatore | Uni-RomaTre | 30 |
| Fabrizio Galadini | Dirigente di ricerca | INGV-RM1 | 30 |
| Stefano Gori | Assegno di ricerca | INGV-CNT | 30 |
| Marco Moro | Ricercatore | INGV-CNT | 30 |
| Michele Saroli | Ricercatore | Uni-Cas | 30 |
| Giancarlo Scardia | Assegno di ricerca | Cnr-Igag | 30 |
| Sepe Vincenzo | Ricercatore geofisico | INGV-CNT | 90 |
| Anzidei Marco | Primo Riercatore | INGV-CNT | 90 |
| Brandi Giuseppe | CTER VI | INGV-OV | 90 |
| Cubellis Elena | Ricercatore Geofisico | INGV-OV | 90 |
| D'Alessandro Andrea | CTER V | INGV-OV | 90 |
| De Martino Prospero | Tecnologo | INGV-OV | 90 |
| Del Mese Sergio | CTER IV | INGV-CNT | 90 |
| Dolce Mario | CTER VI | INGV-OV | 90 |
| Esposito Alessandra | Ricercatore | INGV-CNT | 90 |
| Galvani Alessandro | Ricercatore | INGV-CNT | 90 |
| Massucci Angelo | CTER IV | INGV-CNT | 90 |
| Obrizzo Francesco | Primo Tecnologo | INGV-OV | 90 |
| Tammaro Umberto | Tecnologo | INGV-OV | 90 |
| Vilardo Giuseppe | Dirigente di Ricerca | INGV-OV | 90 |

Personale dell'UR INGV-BO

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona (personale non a carico del progetto) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | | | I anno |
| Letizia Anderlini | Dottorando | INGV Bologna | 60 |
| Paolo Augliera | Primo Ricercatore | INGV Milano | |
| Alessandra Borghi | Assegnista di ricerca | DIAR, sez. Rilevamento Politecnico di Milano | |
| Simona Carannante | Ricercatore | INGV CNT | |
| Marco Cattaneo | Dir. Ricerca | INGV CNT | 30 |
| Adriano Cavaliere | CTER | INGV Bologna | |
| Ezio D'Alema | Tecnologo | INGV CNT | 30 |
| Stefania Danesi | Ricercatore | INGV Bologna | 180 |
| Adriano Gualandi | Dottorando | INGV Bologna | 60 |
| Sara Lovati | Tecnologo | INGV Milano | 30 |

| | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|----|
| Marco Massa | Ricercatore | INGV Milano | 30 |
| Irene Molinari | Assegnista di Ricerca | INGV Bologna | |
| Giancarlo Monachesi | Primo Ricercatore | INGV CNT | 30 |
| Andrea Morelli | Dir. Ricerca | INGV Bologna | 90 |
| Milena Moretti | Ricercatore | INGV CNT | 30 |
| Davide Piccinini | Ricercatore | INGV-RM1 | 20 |
| Silvia Pondrelli | Primo Ricercatore | INGV Bologna | |
| Mirko Reguzzoni | Ricercatore | DIAR, sez. Rilevamento Politecnico di Milano | 20 |
| Simone Salimbeni | CTER | INGV Bologna | |
| Stefano Salvi | Dir. Ricerca | INGV CNT | 30 |
| Enrico Serpelloni | Ricercatore | INGV CNT | 60 |
| Cristiano Tolomei | Ricercatore | INGV CNT | 60 |
| Maria Rosaria Tondi | Ricercatore | INGV Bologna | 40 |
| Lucia Zaccarelli | Ricercatore | INGV Bologna | |

Personale dell'UR OGS-TS

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | | I anno |
| Alessandro Vuan | Ricercatore | OGS | 90 |
| Peter Klin | Ricercatore | OGS | 80 |
| Franco Pettenati | Ricercatore | OGS | 80 |
| Lara Tiberi | Dottoranda | UniTS | 80 |
| Livio Sirovich | Ricercatore | OGS | 70 |
| Giovanni Costa | Ricercatore | UniTS | 60 |
| Flavio Accaino | Tecnologo | OGS | 60 |
| Monica Sugan | Ricercatore Det. | OGS | 20 |
| Luca Moratto | Ricercatore Det. | OGS | 20 |
| Peter Suhadolc | Professore associato | UniTS | 15 |
| Antonella Gallo | Assegnista | UniTS | 15 |
| Giuliana Zoppé | Dottoranda | UniTS | 15 |
| Fanzutti Francesco | Tecnologo | OGS | 15 |
| Baradello Luca | Tecnologo | OGS | 15 |
| Carla Barnaba | Ricercatore Det. | OGS | 10 |

Personale dell'UR ISMAR-BO

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona (personale non a carico del progetto) |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|---|
| | | | I anno |
| Argnani Andrea | I Ricercatore | ISMAR-BO, CNR | 80 |
| Ligi Marco | I Ricercatore | ISMAR-BO, CNR | 40 |
| Gasperini Luca | I Ricercatore | ISMAR-BO, CNR | 40 |
| Del Bianco Fabrizio | Assegnista | ISMAR-BO, CNR | 40 |
| Martelli Luca | Geologo | Regione Emilia-Romagna | 40 |
| Riccardo Caputo | Prof. Ass. | Univ. Ferrara | 40 |
| Santarato Giuseppe | Prof. Ass. | Univ. Ferrara | 40 |
| Minarelli Luca | Dottorando | Univ. Ferrara | 40 |
| Stefani Marco | Prof. Ass. | Univ. Ferrara | 40 |
| Smporas Sotirios | Assegnista | Univ. Ferrara | 40 |
| Abu-Zeid Nasser | Assegnista | Univ. Ferrara | 40 |
| Bignardi Samuel | Assegnista | Univ. Ferrara | 40 |
| Manzi Vinicio | Ricercatore | Univ. Parma | 20 |
| Roveri Marco | Prof. Ordinario | Univ. Parma | 20 |
| Toscani Giovanni | Ricercatore | UNIPV | 90 |
| Seno Silvio | Prof. Ordinario | UNIPV | 30 |
| Bonini Lorenzo | Assegnista | UNIPV | 60 |
| Valensise Gianluca | Dirigente di Ricerca | INGV | 30 |
| Burrato Pierfrancesco | Ricercatore | INGV | 30 |
| Basili Roberto | Primo Ricercatore | INGV | 30 |
| D'Ambrogi Chiara | Ricercatore | ISPRA | 30 |
| TBD | Assegnista di ricerca (a carico del progetto) | UNIPV | 0 |

Personale dell'UR IREA

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona (personale non a carico del progetto) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | I anno |
| Berardino Paolo | Ricercatore | CNR-IREA | 30 |
| Fornaro Gianfranco | Primo Ricercatore | CNR-IREA | 30 |
| D'Agostino Nicola | Primo Ricercatore | INGV | 30 |

Personale dell'UR UniCal

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona (personale non a carico del progetto) |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | I anno |
| Gervasi Anna | Ricercatore INGV TD | INGV-CNT | 150 |
| Orecchio Barbara | Ricercatore Universitario Professore a Contratto | Università di Messina Università della Calabria | 120 |
| Billi Andrea | Ricercatore | CNR / IGAG - Roma | 30 |
| Presti Debora | Assegnista di Ricerca | Università di Messina | 60 |
| XX YY | Ricercatore Univ. TD | Università della Calabria (concorso in atto) | 90 |
| YY XX | Assegnista di Ricerca | Università della Calabria (concorso in atto) | 60 |
| Festa Lorenzo | Collaboratore Tecnico | Università della Calabria | 90 |
| Latorre Gerolamo | Collaboratore Tecnico | Università della Calabria | 90 |

Personale dell'UR UniCH

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona (personale non a carico del progetto) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | I anno |
| Francesco Brozzetti | Prof. Associato | Università G. d'Annunzio Chieti | 90 |
| Giusy Lavecchia | Prof. Ordinario | Università G. d'Annunzio Chieti | 40 |
| Paolo Boncio | Prof. Associato | Università G. d'Annunzio Chieti | 20 |
| Rita de Nardis | Assegnista | Università G. d'Annunzio Chieti | 20 |
| Francesca Liberi | Assegnista | Università G. d'Annunzio Chieti | 20 |
| Guido Adinolfi | dottorando | Università G. d'Annunzio Chieti | 20 |

Personale dell'UR UniPG

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona (personale non a carico del progetto) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| | | | I anno |
| Pauselli Cristina | Ricercatore | Università di Perugia | 40 |
| Ercoli Maurizio | Assegnista | Università di Perugia | 50 |
| Costanzo Federico | Professore associato | Università di Perugia | 40 |
| Forte Emanuele | Ricercatore | Università di Trieste | 40 |
| Frigeri Alessandro | Collaboratore | INAF-IAPS Roma | 40 |
| Kofman Wlodek | Direttore di Ricerca | CNRS-IPAG Grenoble | 10 |
| Bradford John | Professore | Center for Geophysical Investigation of the Shallow Subsurface 10 | 10 |

Personale dell'UR IGAG

| Nominativo (Cognome e Nome) | Qualifica | Ente/Istituzione | Giorni/Persona (personale non a carico del progetto) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | I anno |
| Cavinato Giampaolo | Ricercatore | IGAG-CNR | 20 |
| Galli Paolo | Ricercatore | IGAG-DPC | 40 |
| Giaccio Biagio | Ricercatore | IGAG-CNR | 40 |
| Mancini Marco | Ricercatore | IGAG-CNR | 20 |
| Messina Paolo | Primo Ricercatore | IGAG-CNR | 10 |
| Scardia Giancarlo | Assegnista | IGAG-CNR | 10 |
| Sottili Gianluca | Assegnista | IGAG-CNR | 20 |
| Peronace Edoardo | Assegnista | IGAG-CNR | 20 |

9. Financial plan (€)

Table summarizing the funds assigned to the deliverables, with indication of deliverable responsible and RU. Funds are given in k€

| Task | Deliverables | Responsible | RU | Assigned funds |
|------|---------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|
| a1 | Compilation of geol. data | Argnani | ISMAR-BO | 2 |
| | 3D velocity model | Morelli | INGV-BO | 21 |
| | 3D velocity model | Vuan | OGS | 19 |
| | 3D Graviy model | Tondii | INGV-BO | 10 |
| | 3D velocity | Massa | INGV-BO | 5 |
| | MT for M>3 eqks | Malagnini | INGV-RM | 6 |
| | 2D vel. cross section | Accaino | OGS | 10 |
| a2 | GPS vel/strain | Serpelloni | INGV-BO | 8 |
| | GPS velocities | Berardino | IREA | 5 |
| | Displacement from GPS | Berardino | IREA | 7.5 |
| | Model GPS | Serpelloni | INGV-BO | 8 |
| | 2D/3D stress field maps | Mariucci | INGV-RM | 2 |
| b1 | Relocation of seismicity | Danesi | INGV-BO | 4 |
| | Focal Mechanisms | Danesi | INGV-BO | 2 |
| | Geodetic Modelling | Serpelloni | INGV-BO | 5 |
| | Seismic Parametrization | Danesi | INGV-BO | 2 |
| b2 | Subsurface Mapping | Argnani | ISMAR-BO | 8 |
| | FE high-res. stratigraphy | Santarato | ISMAR-BO | 8 |
| | Liquefaction | DeMartini | INGV-RM | 10 |
| | Paleoseismology | Galadini | INGV-RM | 12.5 |

| | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------|----|
| | Relocation of seismicity (RAN) | Costa | OGS | 15 |
| | Relocation of seismicity | Massa | INGV-BO | 15 |
| | MCS/Chirp acquisition | Argnani | ISMAR-O | 6 |
| | Analogue modelling | Toscani | ISMAR-BO | 20 |
| b3 | Macroseism. inversion | Sirovich | OGS | 7 |
| c1 | GPS vel/strain | Sepe | INGV-RM | 5 |
| | GPS-SAR | Sepe | INGV-RM | 4 |
| c2 | GPS vel/strain | D'Agostino | INGV-RM | 7 |
| | Fault Parametrization | Cinti | INGV-RM | 29 |
| | Relocation seismicity | Guerra | UniCal | 18 |
| | 2/3D stress field maps | Mariucci | INGV-RM | 2 |
| | struct Map | Brozzetti | UniPG | 20 |
| d1 | Mercure faults study | Giaccio | IGAG | 25 |
| | GPR (Mercure) | Pauselli | UniPG | 12 |
| | Total assigned | 157.5 (ingv) | 182.5 (non-ingv) | |

Tabella riassuntiva delle spese delle varie UR (voci in Euro)

| Categoria di spesa\UR | INGV-RM | INGV-BO | OGS-TS | ISMAR-BO | IREA | UniCal | UniCH | IGAG-RM | UniPG |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1) Spese di personale | 7.750 | 2.000 | 2.900 | 4.400 | | | | | |
| 2) Spese per missioni | 32.200 | 10.500 | 11.200 | 15.200 | 1.500 | 1.500 | 6.500 | 5.000 | 5.400 |
| 3) Costi amministrativi | | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Spese per studi, ricerche ed altre prestazioni professionali | 17.700 | 42.000 | 3.000 | 12.000 | 11.000 | | 11.000 | 17.500 | 6.000 |
| 5) Spese per servizi | | | 1.500 | 5.500 | | 3.000 | | | |
| 6) Materiale tecnico durevole e di consumo | 12.100 | 25.500 | 27.780 | 2.500 | | 11.700 | 2.500 | | |
| 7) Spese indirette | 7.750 | | 4620 | 4.400 | | 1.800 | | 2.500 | 600 |
| Totale | 77.500 | 80.000 | 51.000 | 44.000 | 12.500 | 18.000 | 20.000 | 25.000 | 12.000 |

Tabella riassuntiva delle spese del progetto (voci in Euro)

| Categoria di spesa | Importo previsto |
|---|------------------|
| 1) Spese di personale | 17.050 |
| 2) Spese per missioni | 89.000 |
| 3) Costi amministrativi (solo per i responsabili di programma) | |
| 4) Spese per studi, ricerche ed altre prestazioni professionali | 120.200 |
| 5) Spese per servizi | 10.000 |
| 6) Materiale tecnico durevole e di consumo | 82.080 |
| 7) Spese indirette (spese generali) | 21.670 |
| Totale | 340.000 |